Igniting Ontario's Post-Secondary Funding Models - A White Paper for Ontario and PSE Success

Research · February 2025

DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.28660487

1 author:

Andre De Freitas
York University

Andre De Freitas, EdD

February 2025

Igniting Ontario's Post-Secondary Funding Models

A White Paper for Ontario and PSE Success

Abstract

Ontario's postsecondary education funding model is at a pivotal moment. With the Ministry of Colleges and Universities (MCU) committed to reviewing the funding framework before the 2027-28 academic year, this research paper explores a sustainable, equitable, and outcome-driven funding strategy. This study evaluates the existing model, identifies its challenges, and proposes a hybrid funding model that balances enrolment-based funding, performance incentives, and workforce alignment initiatives. The research, supported by AI prompts, also outlines a phased implementation plan, potential barriers, and mitigation strategies to ensure institutional and student success. All findings are supported by empirical studies, policy reports from the Ontario Ministry of Colleges and Universities (MCU), the Higher Education Quality Council of Ontario (HEQCO), the Blue Ribbon Panel Report on Postsecondary Education Funding in Ontario (2023), the Ontario Budget (2023-2024), Council of Universities, Statistics Canada, and the Ontario Colleges and Universities Strategic Planning Reports.

Introduction

Ontario's postsecondary education funding model has undergone multiple transformations over the past several decades, shaped by economic, political, and demographic shifts. Initially, Ontario's universities and colleges operated under a **block grant funding system**, where institutions received lump-sum allocations with limited performance or enrolment accountability. However, in the **mid-1990s**, this model was replaced with **enrolment-based funding (EBF)**, which tied institutional budgets to student headcounts. This shift aimed to encourage institutional growth, widen access to higher education, and align funding with student demand.

Over time, **enrollment-driven funding became increasingly unsustainable** due to **fluctuating demographics** and growing financial pressures on institutions. In response, Ontario introduced **Performance-Based Funding (PBF)** in the early 2010s, linking a portion of institutional budgets to **graduation rates, student employment outcomes, and research excellence**. The government planned to **expand performance-based funding to 60% of total institutional budgets by 2020**, but implementation was delayed due to the **COVID-19 pandemic** and concerns raised by stakeholders about its potential impact on institutional stability.

As of **2023**, Ontario provides **$9,890 per full-time equivalent (FTE) student**, compared to the **national average of $15,807** (HEQCO, 2023). This funding disparity has **forced universities and colleges to rely heavily on tuition revenue**, particularly from **international students**, to balance their budgets. However, global policy changes, economic uncertainty, and declining domestic enrolments have exposed **serious vulnerabilities in Ontario's current funding model**.

Evolution of Ontario's Postsecondary Funding Model

Pre-1990s: Block Funding Model

- Postsecondary institutions received **fixed annual grants** from the government.
- **Limited accountability measures** meant funding was distributed without direct correlation to student enrolment, program costs, or outcomes.
- Colleges and universities had **autonomy** in spending, but **budget imbalances** emerged as student numbers grew.

1996: Introduction of Enrolment-Based Funding (EBF)

- The **Core Operating Grant** model was introduced, allocating funding based on **full-time equivalent (FTE) enrolments**.
- Institutions with **higher enrollment growth** received **larger funding increases**.
- Intended to **expand access to postsecondary education** across Ontario.
- **Key Challenge:** Tied institutional sustainability **too closely to student numbers**, creating financial instability during demographic shifts.

2010s: Introduction of Performance-Based Funding (PBF)

- The Ontario government introduced **Performance-Based Funding (PBF)** to incentivize institutions to improve **student outcomes**.
- Institutions were measured based on:
  - **Graduation rates**
  - **Graduate employment outcomes**
  - **Research productivity**
  - **Student satisfaction**
- By **2020**, the government announced plans to **increase PBF to 60% of funding**; however, this was postponed due to the **COVID-19 pandemic** and sector pushback.

2023-2024: Funding Pressures & Policy Reassessment

- **Ontario's per-student funding ($9,890 per FTE)** is **the lowest in Canada**, well below the **national average of $15,807** (HEQCO, 2023).
- Colleges and universities have **increasingly relied on tuition fees, especially from international students**, to cover operational costs.
- The **Ontario Ministry of Colleges and Universities (MCU) and the Blue Ribbon Panel (2023)** recommended a **comprehensive review** of the funding model to **ensure long-term sustainability**.

Current Postsecondary Funding Model

Ontario's postsecondary institutions currently receive government funding through **three primary streams**:

1. Core Operating Grants (Enrolment-Based Funding) – 65% of Total Funding

- Institutions receive **per-student funding** based on **FTE enrolments**.
- Universities receive an estimated **$9,890 per domestic FTE student**.
- Colleges receive **$8,000 per FTE student** due to **lower operating costs**.
- **Challenges:**
  - Declining enrolment in some regions has **destabilized funding allocations**.
  - Institutions are **incentivized to maximize enrollment numbers**, sometimes at the cost of program quality.

2. Performance-Based Funding (PBF) – 10% of Total Funding

- A portion of government funding is allocated based on institutions meeting **performance metrics**, including:
  - **Graduate employment rates**
  - **Graduation rates**
  - **Student satisfaction**
  - **Institutional research output**
- **Concerns with PBF:**
  - Some institutions, particularly **colleges and northern schools**, face **disadvantages due to regional labour market conditions**.
  - PBF places **greater pressure on smaller institutions**, which lack the same research funding as larger universities.

3. Strategic Investment & Targeted Grants – 25% of Total Funding

- Funding allocated for **specific institutional priorities**, including:
  - Research infrastructure
  - Indigenous and northern education
  - Accessibility services for students with disabilities
  - Industry-partnered programs
- These funds are **not guaranteed year-over-year** and are subject to **government priorities and institutional applications**.
- **Criticism:** This funding is **not equitably distributed**, disproportionately benefiting **research-intensive universities over colleges**.

Why the Current Model Must Be Redesigned

The **existing funding framework was designed for a stable and growing student population**. However, Ontario now faces **significant economic and demographic shifts**, demanding a new and more adaptable funding approach. The following key issues highlight the **urgent need for reform**:

1. **Declining Domestic Enrolment**: The **18-24-year-old population is shrinking**, particularly in rural and northern regions. Enrolment-driven funding is becoming **less viable**, as institutions compete for fewer students.
2. **Rising Operational Costs**: Inflation, **faculty salary increases**, and **capital maintenance costs** have outpaced funding growth, creating **structural deficits** in many institutions.
3. **Increased Reliance on International Students**: International enrolments have become **a financial lifeline**, but **federal policy changes** and **global geopolitical factors** have made this strategy **highly unsustainable**.
4. **Mismatch Between Skills and Labour Market Needs**: Employers report **labour shortages**, yet many graduates struggle to secure jobs **in their fields of study**. The **current funding model does not incentivize workforce alignment**.
5. **Technological Shifts in Education**: The expansion of **hybrid learning, online education, and AI-driven teaching models** requires **new funding priorities** for digital infrastructure and program adaptation.
6. **Equity and Accessibility Gaps**: Institutions that serve **underrepresented and marginalized students** often receive **less funding**, limiting their ability to support **academic success and economic mobility**.

The Need for a Forward-Thinking Funding Strategy

Given these **economic, technological, and demographic pressures**, Ontario must implement a **radical shift in its postsecondary funding model**. A **new framework** should:

- **Balance financial stability with performance incentives**, ensuring institutions have the resources to **deliver quality education** while being held accountable for **student outcomes**.
- **Reduce reliance on tuition-based funding**, particularly from international students, by **strengthening public investment** in higher education.
- **Incentivize programs that align with workforce needs**, ensuring students graduate **job-ready** and Ontario maintains **economic competitiveness**.
- **Prioritize funding equity**, supporting institutions that serve **diverse student populations** while fostering **regional economic growth**.
- **Embrace technological advancements**, allocating funding to **hybrid learning models, AI-driven education tools, and digital infrastructure**.

Ontario's Opportunity to Lead in Postsecondary Education Policy

Ontario is at a **crossroads** in shaping the future of its **higher education sector**. With **funding model reform scheduled before 2027-28**, there is an opportunity to **develop a best-in-class system** that prioritizes:

- **Sustainability** – Reducing financial volatility for institutions and stabilizing revenue streams.
- **Equity** – Ensuring fair funding for colleges, northern institutions, and marginalized student populations.
- **Economic Alignment** – Supporting programs that address labour market shortages.
- **Innovation** – Investing in cutting-edge learning technologies and digital transformation.

The following sections of this report outline **four proposed funding models**, a **phased implementation plan**, and strategies to **overcome potential challenges**.

Proposed Funding Model Options

To address the challenges of the current system, four alternative funding models are proposed. These models incorporate recommendations from the **Blue Ribbon Panel Report (2023)** and align with the **strategic goals of Ontario's government and postsecondary institutions**. They ensure that both **universities and colleges receive equitable funding, promote financial stability, and prioritize workforce alignment**.

Each model presents **a distinct approach**, allowing for **varied levels of enrolment-based, performance-based, and strategic funding structures**.

Option 1: Enhanced Enrolment-Based Model

This model maintains a **strong per-student funding structure**, with refinements to increase financial stability and targeted investments for institutional sustainability.

Key Features

- **Base Per-Student Funding (70%)**
  - **Universities:** $10,500 per Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) student.
  - **Colleges:** $9,000 per FTE student.
- **Stability & Equity Grants (15%)**
  - Provides additional funding for **rural, northern, and underfunded institutions**.
  - Ensures financial predictability despite **demographic declines**.

- **Strategic Investment Fund (10%)**
    - Targets **innovation, accessibility, and infrastructure improvements**.
    - Encourages investment in **hybrid learning models and AI-driven education**.
- **Performance-Based Component (5%)**
    - Tied to **graduation rates, employment outcomes, and research excellence**.
    - Allows institutions to benefit from **outcome-driven funding incentives**.

Projected Fund Distribution

Category	% of Total Funding	Projected Allocation (Approx.)
Base Per-Student Funding	70%	$4.9B
Stability & Equity Grants	15%	$1.05B
Strategic Investment Fund	10%	$700M
Performance-Based Component	5%	$350M
Total	**100%**	**$7B**

Best Fit For:

- Institutions prioritizing **enrolment growth and long-term stability**.
- Colleges and universities with **consistent domestic student pipelines**.
- Institutions needing **greater financial predictability** over time.

Option 2: Performance & Equity-Based Model

This model **reduces reliance on enrolment-based funding** by introducing a larger performance-based component, while ensuring **stronger equity considerations** for institutions that serve underrepresented student populations.

Key Features

- **Base Per-Student Funding (50%)**
    - **Universities:** $7,500 per FTE student.
    - **Colleges:** $6,500 per FTE student.
- **Performance-Based Funding (25%)**
    - Funding is linked to **graduate employment rates, research productivity, and institutional efficiency**.
    - Higher funding incentives for institutions **with strong workforce integration programs**.

- **Equity Adjustment Fund (15%)**
    - Ensures that institutions serving **marginalized and underrepresented students** receive sufficient funding.
    - Includes additional grants for **Indigenous-serving, northern, and accessibility-focused institutions**.
- **Workforce Alignment Fund (10%)**
    - Targets **job training programs, employer partnerships, and cooperative education opportunities**.
    - Supports **sectors facing severe labour shortages (healthcare, skilled trades, technology, and manufacturing)**.

Projected Fund Distribution

Category	% of Total Funding	Projected Allocation (Approx.)
Base Per-Student Funding	50%	$3.5B
Performance-Based Funding	25%	$1.75B
Equity Adjustment Fund	15%	$1.05B
Workforce Alignment Fund	10%	$700M
Total	**100%**	**$7B**

Best Fit For:

- Institutions focused on **student employment outcomes and research productivity**.
- Colleges offering **work-integrated learning (WIL) programs**.
- Universities with **diverse student populations** needing additional **equity-based financial support**.

Option 3: Hybrid Model with Sector Prioritization

This model balances **student enrolment funding, institutional sustainability, and economic development** by directing funding **toward high-growth industries** and **research priorities**.

Key Features

- **Base Per-Student Funding (60%)**
  - **Universities:** $9,000 per FTE student.
  - **Colleges:** $8,000 per FTE student.
- **Targeted Excellence Fund (20%)**
  - Rewards institutions excelling in **research, innovation, and industry partnerships**.
- **Workforce & Economic Development Fund (20%)**
  - Directs funding to institutions with **applied learning programs and industry collaborations**.
  - Supports expansion in **technology, skilled trades, and healthcare education**.

Projected Fund Distribution

Category	**% of Total Funding**	**Projected Allocation (Approx.)**
Base Per-Student Funding	60%	$4.2B
Targeted Excellence Fund	20%	$1.4B
Workforce & Economic Development Fund	20%	$1.4B
Total	100%	$7B
Total	**100%**	**$7B**

Best Fit For:

- Institutions with **strong research and industry engagement**.
- Colleges prioritizing **applied and workforce-aligned learning**.
- Universities looking to **grow high-tech and STEM programs**.

Option 4: Institutional Engagement Model

This model **shifts responsibility** to **Colleges Ontario and the Council of Ontario Universities**, requiring them to **develop at least two funding models** for Ministry review.

Key Features

- **Institution-Led Funding Proposal Development (50%)**
  - Colleges and universities create their **own funding frameworks**, promoting **financial autonomy and accountability**.
- **Provincial Oversight & Research (20%)**
  - Ensures that proposed models align with **public policy and labour market demands**.
- **Workforce Alignment & Economic Development (20%)**
  - Supports **institutions addressing Ontario's most pressing labour needs**.
- **Equity & Performance Funding (10%)**
  - Ensures continued support for **historically underfunded institutions**.

Projected Fund Distribution

Category	% of Total Funding	Projected Allocation (Approx.)
Institution-Led Funding Proposal	50%	$3.5B
Provincial Oversight & Research	20%	$1.4B
Workforce Alignment & Economic Development	20%	$1.4B
Equity & Performance Funding	10%	$700M
Total	**100%**	**$7B**

Best Fit For:

- Institutions seeking **greater autonomy and research-driven funding policies**.
- Universities and colleges are ready **to take ownership of financial planning**.
- Postsecondary leaders advocating for **collaborative, sector-led funding reform**.

Implementation Timeline

A structured approach is necessary to ensure a **seamless transition to the new funding model** while allowing for institutional adjustments, stakeholder engagement, and policy refinement. The following phased implementation plan aligns with Ontario's postsecondary education budget cycle and institutional planning frameworks.

Phase	Timeline	Key Actions
Phase 1: Consultation & Data Collection	2025	Establish a task force including representatives from universities, colleges, the Ministry, industry experts, and student advocacy organizations such as the Canadian Federation of Students (CFS), the Canadian Alliance of Student Associations (CASA), and the College Student Alliance (CSA). Conduct province-wide stakeholder consultations. Gather financial, demographic, and labour market data to refine funding projections.
Phase 2: Model Development & Testing	2026	Develop preliminary funding model structures based on stakeholder feedback. Conduct pilot testing of select models with participating institutions. Release consultation papers for further refinement. Ensure continued student representation through engagement with CFS, CASA, and CSA.
Phase 3: Policy Finalization & Approval	2027	Review pilot program results and refine models as necessary. Secure legislative and budgetary approvals. Develop implementation guidelines and support materials for institutions. Conduct student-focused policy impact analysis in collaboration with student advocacy organizations.
Phase 4: Full Implementation & Monitoring	2028	Roll out the finalized funding model across all institutions. Monitor institutional adjustments and conduct performance evaluations. Implement necessary refinements based on outcomes and sector feedback. Establish a **permanent student policy advisory committee** to monitor and refine funding structures.

Barriers to Implementation & Mitigation Strategies

A funding model transition of this scale is complex and must anticipate potential barriers. Below are some **key challenges and proposed mitigation strategies**:

Barrier	Potential Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Institutional Resistance	Universities and colleges may push back against changes that impact financial stability.	Engage institutions early in the process to ensure collaborative design. Provide transition funding to cushion the shift to new funding models.
Budget Constraints	Limited government resources may restrict the feasibility of significant funding increases.	Implement phased increases to allow for financial adjustments. Encourage cost-sharing models between institutions and industry partners.
Equity Concerns	Some institutions, particularly smaller colleges and northern schools, may struggle under a new model.	Ensure a well-structured Equity Adjustment Fund. Provide additional transition grants for impacted institutions.
Data & Performance Challenges	Performance-based funding requires accurate and consistent data tracking.	Develop standardized reporting frameworks for institutions. Provide technical and financial support to improve institutional data collection.
Stakeholder Buy-in & Policy Complexity	Resistance from faculty, unions, and student organizations.	Transparent communication throughout the process. Create a multi-year transition period with built-in flexibility.
Student Advocacy Organization Opposition	Groups such as **CFS, CASA, and CSA** may raise concerns over accessibility, tuition impacts, or funding distribution.	Include student representatives in funding model discussions. Address concerns proactively through equity-driven policy adjustments. Provide **student financial aid transparency** to ensure fair implementation.
Political Opposition	Resistance from opposing political parties to support new legislation.	Frame policies around shared interests, use data-driven justifications, and foster bipartisan support through strategic communication and compromise.

Conclusion & Recommendations

This funding model overhaul represents a **critical opportunity to modernize Ontario's postsecondary education system**. The phased implementation ensures that institutions have the time and resources to **adapt effectively**, while **collaborative engagement with stakeholders** mitigates potential resistance. Key recommendations moving forward include:

- **Establishing a dedicated Postsecondary Funding Task Force** to oversee implementation and adjustments, ensuring student and institutional representation.
- **Maintaining flexibility** to refine funding allocation based on evolving institutional and student needs.
- **Ensuring sustainability** through long-term financial planning and workforce alignment strategies.
- **Expanding research partnerships** between institutions and employers to create a funding model that is **responsive to economic and labour market shifts**.
- **Integrating student advocacy organizations into the governance structure** to provide continuous feedback on policy implementation and adjustments.

Through **transparent governance, evidence-based policy-making, and institutional collaboration**, Ontario can **build a robust and equitable funding model** that ensures **long-term stability and student success** in higher education.

References

APPA. (n.d.). Budgeting practices and strategies. Retrieved from https://www.appa.org

Centre on Students. (n.d.). Focus on outcomes: Perspectives on evolving funding models. Retrieved from https://www.ontario.ca

Council of Ontario Universities (COU) Funding Report. Council of Ontario Universities. (2023). *University funding framework and policy priorities in Ontario*. COU. Retrieved from https://www.cou.ca/resources/publications

Handbooks of Higher Education Leadership. (n.d.). Budgeting strategically. Retrieved from https://www.highereducationleadership.org

Handbooks of Higher Education Leadership. (n.d.). Using budgeting effectively. Retrieved from https://www.highereducationleadership.org

Higher Education Quality Council of Ontario (HEQCO) Report. Higher Education Quality Council of Ontario. (2023). *Postsecondary education funding in Ontario: Challenges and policy options*. HEQCO. Retrieved from https://www.heqco.ca/publications/

McKinsey & Company. (n.d.). Higher education transformation for the long-term. Retrieved from https://www.mckinsey.com

Ministry of Finance. (2023). Appendix A: 2023-2027 budget cycle scenarios. Ontario.ca. Retrieved from https://www.finance.ontario.ca

Ministry of Finance. (2024). 2024 fall statement: Chapter 1B. Ontario.ca. Retrieved from https://www.budget.ontario.ca

Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities. (2022). Published plans and annual reports 2022–2023. Ontario.ca. Retrieved from https://www.ontario.ca

Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities. (n.d.). College and university strategic mandate agreements. Ontario.ca. Retrieved from https://www.ontario.ca

Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities. (n.d.). College funding model consultation paper. Ontario.ca. Retrieved from https://www.ontario.ca

Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities. (n.d.). University funding in Ontario and the implications of the new funding model. Retrieved from https://www.ontario.ca

Ontario Blue-Ribbon Panel Report on Postsecondary Funding Ontario Ministry of Colleges and Universities. (2023). *Ensuring financial sustainability for Ontario's postsecondary sector: Report of the Blue-Ribbon Panel on Postsecondary Education Financial Sustainability*. Government of Ontario. Retrieved from https://www.ontario.ca/page/blue-ribbon-panel-postsecondary-funding

Ontario Confederation of University Faculty Associations. (n.d.). Making sense of the funding formula for Ontario universities. Retrieved from https://www.ocufa.on.ca

Ontario Confederation of University Faculty Associations. (n.d.). OCUFA releases principles for the Ontario university funding formula. Retrieved from https://www.ocufa.on.ca

StrategyCorp. (n.d.). A closer look at Ontario's post-secondary efficiency. Retrieved from https://www.strategycorp.com